

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERC United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/680,291	. 10/06/2000	Alexander P. Moravsky	7000R	9193
. 75	90 11/22/2006		EXAMINER	
LEOPOLD PRESSER			PATEL, ASHOK	
SCULLY SCOTT MURPHY & PRESSER 400 GARDEN CITY PLAZA			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
GARDEN CITY, NY 11530-0299			2879	

DATE MAILED: 11/22/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/680,291	MORAVSKY ET AL				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Ashok Patel	2879				
 The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply 	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address -				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was pailing to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (6(a)) In no event, however, may a reply be to the apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDON	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 Au	<u>ıgust 2006</u> .					
·— ·	action is non-final.	-				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	153 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 67,70,73-84 and 97-104 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) 70,73-84 and 97-104	is/are withdrawn from considera	ation.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>67</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	relection requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Offic	e Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Applica	tion No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	ity documents have been receive	ved in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau	ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receiv	red.				
Attachment(s)						
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summar					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail (5) Notice of Informal					
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>08292006</u>. 	6) Other:	, аколе приновани				

1. Applicant's election with traverse of Group I, claim 67, in the reply filed on 08/29/2006, is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that:

Page 2

- (1) there is absolutely no indication that Groups I, II and III are independent (page 3 of applicant's response). This is not found persuasive because the claims are divided into three distinct groups, as shown in the very last restriction requirement. For example, the electron emissive material of group II (or the field emission device of group III) does not require the solid substance (of Group I) having more than one half by weight of hollow carbon nanotubes of claim 67 of group I. The subcombination has separate utility such, conductive stock material, paste, switch etc.
- (2) the prior art search requiring search in more than one classification is sufficient criteria for maintaining a restriction to allegedly different patentable inventions is in error (page 4 of the response). This is not always persuasive since different prior art search is not the sole factor for the restriction requirement. Showing of distinctness of three groups from each other in this case is also another criteria for restriction requirement.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL. Claims 70,73-84 and 97-104 are withdrawn from

Application/Control Number: 09/680,291

Art Unit: 2879

consideration. An action on merits including elected claim 67 is as follows.

Page 3

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claim 67 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Smalley et al (USPN 6,692,717).

Smalley et al disclose applicant's claimed solid substance (yield or a sold mass) including hollow carbon nanotubes having two (double) layers of carbon atoms (col. 4, second paragraph; col. 5, lines 36-53 etc.).

As to the limitation by more than half by weight" the Examiner interprets this limitation as "anywhere up to 100 percentage of weight" in a broadest reasonable manner.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ashok

Art Unit: 2879

Patel whose telephone number is 571-272-2456. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nimesh Patel can be reached on 571-272-2457. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ashok Patel Primary Examiner Art Unit 2879